## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/619,926	07/15/2003	Adrianus Johannes Heinen	USP169781A	6818	
7590 08/24/2006		-	EXAM	EXAMINER	
Daniel H. Golt 1701 Market Str			AVERY, B	AVERY, BRIDGET D	
Philadelphia, P.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			3618		
		DATE MAILED: 08/24/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/619,926	HEINEN, ADRIANUS JOHANNES			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Bridget Avery	3618			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is is not of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Ju</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
D''4'	on of Claims	x punto Quayro, 1000 O.D. 11, 40	70 O.O. 210.			
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 1-4,6-14 and 17-20 is/are pending in to 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-4,6-14 and 17-20 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
	on Papers					
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1-4, 6-14 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beltrame et al. (US Patent 5,343,128).

Beltrame et al. teaches a traction assembly including a wheel (3) having a rotational axis, a first radius extending around the rotational axis to an exterior surface of the wheel (3), the exterior surface of the wheel engages a static, non-rotating surface (11 as described in column 3, lines 30-31) while the traction assembly is in operation; an electric motor including a rotor, a stator core and a stator winding (17) situated inside the wheel; a gap situated around the rotational axis between the rotor (15) and the stator (17), as clearly shown in Figures 1 and 2 (see column 2, lines 5-7); the electric motor exerts torque that drives the wheel; the torque having an arm extending from the rotational axis to a surface of the gap; and the traction assembly has a traction ratio, defined as the arm of the torque divided by the first radius of the wheel. Re claim 6, see permanent magnets (15). Re claim 8, see operating and control means (23), as stated in column 3, lines 51-57. Re claims 9-14, see central shaft (5) and Figures 1-3. Regarding the teaching of a direct-drive motor, see column 1, lines 21-30.

Beltrame et al. lacks the exact teaching of a traction ratio which is larger than 0.57, 0.65, 0.7, and smaller than 1.0.

However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to provide a traction ratio that is larger than 0.57, 0.65, 0.7, and smaller than 1.0 since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. It would have also been an obvious design choice to design a wheel to have a traction ration that is larger than 0.57, 0.65, 0.7, and smaller than 1.0, to provide a wheel sized to accommodate the intended vehicle. Beltrame discloses the claimed invention except for an electric motor that fully and automatically drives the wheel. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to provide an electric motor that fully and automatically drives a wheel, since it has been held that broadly providing a mechanical or automatic means to replace manual activity which has accomplished the same result involves only routine skill in the art.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 6-14 and 17-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

In response to applicant's argument regarding the provision of a traction ration that is larger than 0.57", Stieg et al. (4,534,7480) provides evidence that drive tension and slip can be modified for all ranges of torque according to the traction ratio. It is noted that Stieg et al. teaches a traction ratio below 0.65 and a traction

Application/Control Number: 10/619,926

Art Unit: 3618

ratio of about 0.85. The traction ratio will determine tension and slip before any

determination of the optimum or workable ranges (i.e. a traction ratio that is

larger than 0.57).

Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in

this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Bridget Avery at

telephone number 571-272-6691.

May 10, 2006

CHRISTOPHER P. ELLIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600